AD)		

GRANT NUMBER DAMD17-95-1-5046

TITLE: Procollagen Gene Mutations as a Predisposing Factor in

Stress Fractures

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Doctor Eitan Friedman

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Chaim Sheba Medical Center

Tel Hashomer, 52621 Israel

REPORT DATE: October 1997

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: Commander

U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release;

distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED &

19971210 054

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Sulte 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE Octower 1997		ND DATES COVERED ep 96 - 24 Sep 97)
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	OCCODET 1337	Alliuar (25 5	5. FUNDING NUMBERS
Procollagen Gene Mutation	ns as a Predisnos:	ing Factor in	5. PONDING NOWBERS
Stress Fractures	ub u rreurbpob.	ing rudbor in	DAMD17-95-1-5046
Deress fractures			
6. AUTHOR(S)			7
o. Administry			
Doctor Eitan Friedman			
Doctor Ertan Friedman			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME	(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
			REPORT NUMBER
Chaim Sheba Medical Cente	er		
Tel Hashomer, 52621 Israe	el ·		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(I	ES)	10. SPONSORING/MONITORING
Commander			AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
U.S. Army Medical Research			
Fort Detrick, Frederick,	maryland 21/02-3	0012	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY ST	ATEMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for public release	se; distribution	unlimited	
12 40070407 //2			
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200			
Abstract	*		
			investigating the hypothesis
that a germline mutations in the pro			
susceptibility to SF. Clinical, bioche			
with high grade SF's were compared and reported less of previous stress:	with two control groups	. SP sufferers were signi	estacolain and alkalina
phosphtase were significantly highe			
the PCR and DGGE systems for alm polymorphism's and one potential s	nlice innction mutation an	d a mutation in a natient	with Oseogenesis Imperfects
In addition, we have analyzed a sub-			
This serves as proof for the ability of			
soldiers. In addition, the potential to			
explored.			
			l
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMRER OF PAGES
Defense Women's Health	Research Program		
	-		l 15 !

18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

OF ABSTRACT

Unclassified

Unclassified NSN 7540-01-280-5500

OF REPORT

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

OF THIS PAGE

Unclassified

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18

Unlimited

FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army.

Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.

PI - Signature

Date

Table of contents

Front cover	a
Report documentation page	b
Foreword	c
Table of contents	1
Introduction	2-3
Body	3-4
Conclusions	4-5
References	6-7
Tables	
Table 1	8
Table 2	9
Appendices	
A	10-12

Introduction

General background

Several lines of indirect evidence made it plausible (and testable) that in a subset of soldiers with stress fractures (SF) there may be a genetic component (Friedl et al 1992; Giladi et al 1986; Milgrom et al 1985; Singer et al 1990). Specifically, predisposing mutation(s) in one of the two genes encoding for procollagen type 1 (*COL1A1 & COL1A2*) (Procop 1992). These genes are the underlying cause of Osetogenesis Imprefecta (OI), both severe and mild forms (Spotila et al 1994). We hypothesized that subtle mutations within these two genes, that under normal circumstances would not result in any phenotype, may result in stress fractures given the special workload placed on young training soldiers.

Recently, several publications further support the notion that there may be a genetic component to stress fractures: the correlation between bone mass, a primarily genetically determined parameter (Pocock et al 1987; Krall et al 1993; Soroko et al 1994) and the risk for stress fractures in US soldiers (Kimmel et al 1996; Armstrong et al 1996), the correlation between bone mass and the polymorphism in the Sp1 binding site in the promotor region of the procolagen type! gene (Grant et al 1996), and the occurrence of multiple stress fractures in US women during basic training (Lappe et al 1996).

Several other genes may also be considered as candidate genes to be involved in the genetic predisposition to SF, primarily those that are involved in pathological bone conditions and osteoporosis: vitamin D receptor, estrogen receptor and calcium sensing receptor. Specific allelic patterns within these genes or even mutations, have been identified in patients with a variety of bone pathologies (Husmeyr et al, 1994, Morrison et al, 1994 Sano et al, 1995 Smith et al, 1994)

Study design

To test this hypothesis, we have identified soldiers with clear-cut evidence of stress fractures (clinically and by bone scan), gathering all the pertinent data from these individuals (clinical, epidemiological, biochemical analysis of bone turnover parameters, and bone scan data). Constitutional DNA is extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes, and analyzed for the existence of mutations within the two mentioned genes and the other candidate genes by PCR amplifications and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) (Myers et al 1987), complemented by DNA sequencing (Syvanen et al 1989) of amplified PCR products that display abnormal migration pattern. In addition, for the genes that have polymorphisms within them (e.g., vitamin D receptor), we test for specific allelic patterns by restriction enzyme digest of the relevant PCR products. As controls we use two groups of soldiers: a symptomatic control group that is composed of age-matched controls with no objective evidence of stress fractures, and unit

and ethnically matched controls at the final stages of their basic training who are totally asymptomatic. The latter group only fills the detailed questionnaire, but undergoes no bone scan or DNA testing.

Body

Overall, 2591 soldiers participated in the study: 2306 (89%) were males and 285 (11%) were females. Several subgroups were defined: 304 soldiers (293 males and 11 females) were diagnosed with high grade (grade 3-4 by bone scan) stress fractures or more than 3 sites of grade 2 fractures (= SF group). One control group (symptomatic controls) included 237 soldiers (223 males and 14 females) with clinical symptoms compatible with stress fractures, but normal bone scan. A second control group was composed of 2050 asymptomatic soldiers (1790 males and 260 females) from the same units as the stress fracture patients, who filled the questionnaire during the last week of basic training. Several parameters failed to reach statistical significance in a uni- and multivariate analyses between the different groups. These included: ethnic origin, rural or urban residence, type of military service (combat or non-combat units), education levels, hand dominance, dietary calcium intake, and pre-recruitment physical conditioning regimes. Interestingly, none of the 13 soldiers who were observant Jews (of 1725 who answered the question) developed stress fracture. Characteristics that were statistically different in the SF group as compared with both control groups are summarized in table 1. Notably, male SF fracture patients were lighter than both controls, smoked <u>less</u>, had <u>less</u> of a family history of bone diseases and siblings with SF, and reported less frequently of previous stress fractures. The amount of smoking was inversely correlated with the risk for SF. Additionally, females with SF had a lower BMI and SF was diagnosed more often during basic training.

Biochemical analysis

Comparison of serum levels of 5 parameters associated with bone remodeling and collagen breakdown was carried out in 40 individuals in each of the SF group and an ethnic and unit matched symptomatic controls. The results are summarized in table 2. As is evident, bone specific alkaline phosphatase and osteocalcin levels were significantly higher in the SF group as compared with the controls. Surprisingly, vitamin D levels were significantly lower in the SF group. Moreover, bone specific AP levels of over 45 u/ml and osteocalcin over 12.5 ng/ml were only observed in the SF group.

All these data are being summarized and analyzed for an upcoming publication and were presented in a poster form at the recent ASBMR meeting (September 1997).

DNA analysis

Preliminary phase

For DGGE analysis, 59 of the 61 successfully amplified fragments have now been focused on DGGE. This analysis revealed five abnormal migration patterns: in exons 8 and in fragments containing exons 12-13 and exons 14-15 of the *COL1A1* and in exons 6 and 28 of the *COL1A2*. Sequence analysis of these PCR fragments, revealed an intronic polymorphism in intorns 12, and 14 and in exons 6 and 28, the known polymorphisms were confirmed. These finding is important for several reasons: first and foremost, it clearly demonstrates the ability of the DGGE to detect sequence alterations within these two genes. Second, it adds a tool to family analysis when trying to decide which of the two gene to analyze (*COL1A1* or *COL1A2*). Furthermore, it may provide a tool to demonstrate allelic disequilibrium between the tested (i.e., stress fracture patients) and the controls.

Laboratory analysis of DNA from soldiers

Three hundred and eighty nine individuals gave their informed consent and blood was withdrawn from them for DNA extraction. DNA has been extracted from 200 soldiers. As the original protocol indicates, there is no data in the laboratory to identify which sample belongs to the tested group and which one is of the control group. These data are being kept in a central computer at the site where the soldiers are being evaluated. The samples in the lab are known only by given numbers.

PCR amplification and DGGE analysis of 11 exons of the *COLIA1* (exons 3, 4, 6, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 50) and 7 of the *COLIA2* (exons 6, 10, 11, 12, 27, 29, 33) was done on all 200 samples. In the course of these analyses, abnormal migration pattern was detected in 6 samples in the exon 12 of the Col1A2 gene and found to be a base change which could lead to an alternative splicing. Moreover, a mutation within a glycine residue was detected in a single patients with OI (see attached abstract of the manuscript in press in Human Genetics). In addition, the setup for determining allelic patterns of the vitamin D receptor and the Calcium sensing receptor have all been set up in the lab, and 160 soldiers underwent the vitamin D receptor analysis and 80, calcium sensing receptor allelic analysis. Furthermore, we have identified a novel missense mutation within the estrogen receptor in a subset of individuals with osteoporosis, and we plan to look for that missense mutation in all soldiers.

Conclusions

The initial data is encouraging for several reasons. First and foremost, we were able to recruit a large cohort of soldiers and controls. Second, the mutational analysis scheme devised

has proven capable of detecting sequence alterations, including novel polymorphisms and potentially splice junction mutations. Third, allelic pattern determination of additional candidate genes has been set up and has been applied to the tested group of soldiers. The hypothesis that there may be a genetic component to stress fractures has been given another boost, by the fact that so many soldiers with stress fractures report of a family history of either stress fractures or "bone diseases". Unfortunately, we were unable to retrospectively ascertain these individuals, and their exact family history. Lastly, initial indication that biochemical analysis of soldiers with SF may be helpful in the diagnosis of SF. It is clear from these initial studies that more soldiers need to be analyzed, a larger control group needs to be recruited to assess the apparent protective effect of smoking, and that more genes need to be analyzed.

References

Armstrong DW, Drake AJ, McDEvitt ER et al.:

Stress fracture injury and bone mineral density among U.S. naval academy midshipmen. Proceedings of the Annual meeting of the American Endocrine Society, P3-800, 1996.

Friedl KE, & Nuovo JA.:

Factors associated with stress fracture in young army women: indications for further research. Milit Med 157;334-338,1992.

Giladi M., Milgrom C., Kashtan H., et al.:

Recurrent stress fractures in military recruits. J Bone Joint Surg 68;1090-1093,1986.

Grant SFA, Reid MR, Blake G, et al:

Reduced bone density and osteoporosis associated with a polymorphic Sp1 binding site in the collagen type 1a1 gene. Nat Genet. 14;203-205,1996.

Husmyer FG, Peacock M, Hui S, Johnston CC, Christian J.

Bone mineral density in relation to polymorphism at the vitamin D receptor gene locus. **J Clin Invest** 94:2130-2134,1994

Kimmel DB, Lappe JM, Laurin ML et al.:

Prediction of sterss fracture risk during basic training in female soldiers by calcaneal ultrasound. **J Bone Min Res** 11 (Suppl 1):62,1996

Krall EA, Drawson Hughes B.:

Heritable and life-style determination of bone mineral density. J Bone Miner Res 8:1-9,1993.

Lappe J, Kimmel D, Lauren M et al.:

Cigarette smoking, Alcohol intake, and stress fracture distribution in female military recruits. J Bone Min Res 11 (Suppl 1):S533,1996.

Milgrom C., Giladi M., Stein H., et al.:

Stress fractures in military recruits: a prospective study showing an unusually high incidence. **J. Bone Joint Surg.** 67B;732-735,1985.

Morrison NA, Qi JC, Tokita A, et al.

Prediction of bone density from vitamin D receptor alleles Nature 1994; 367:284-287.

Myers RM., Maniatis T., & Lerman LS.:

Detection and localization of single base changes by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. In: Wu R. (ed.): **Methods Enzymology**. San Diego: Academic Press 155;501-527,1987.

Pocock NA, Eisman JA, Hopper JL et al.

Genetic determinants of bone mass in adults: a twin study. J Clin Invest 80:706-710,1987.

Prockop DJ.:

Mutations in collagen genes as a cause of connective tissue diseases. N Engl J Med. 326;540-546,1992.

Sano M, Inoue S, Hosoi t, et al.

Association of estrogen receptor dinucleotide repeat polymorphism with osteoporosis. **Biochem Biophys Res Commun** 217:378-373,1995.

Singer A., Ben-Yehuda O., Ben-Ezra Z., et al.:

Multiple identical stress fractures in monozygotic twins. J. Bone Joint Surg. 72;444-445,1990.

Smith EP, Boyd J, Franck GR, et al.

Estrogen resistance caused by a mutation in the estrogen-receptor gene in a man N Engl J Med 331:1056-61,1994.

Spotila LD., Coligr A., Sereda L., et al

Mutation analysis of coding sequences for type 1 procollagen in individuals with low bone density. **J Bone Miner Metab** 9;923-932,1994.

Soroko SB, Barrett CE, Edelstein Sl, et al.

Family history of osteoporosis and bone mineral density at the axial skeleton: the Rancho Bernardo study. **J Bone Miner Res** 9:761-769,1994.

Syvänen AC, Aalto-Setälä K, Kontula K, et al.:

Direct sequencing of affinity-captured amplified human DNA: application to the detection of apolipoprotein E polymorphism. **FEBS Lett.** 1989;258:71-74.

TABLE 1 STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT CORRELATES OF STRESS FRACTURES

Parameter	P value	P value
	univariate	multivariate
Stage of military training (females only)*	<0.0001	0.003
No previous stress fractures (males only)	0.001	0.003
No history of smoking (males only)	0.013	0.0132
Smoking intensity** (males only)	0.0001	0.0522
No stress fractures in sibs (males only)	0.02	
No family history of bone disorders (males only)	0.001	0.003
Lower weight (males only)	0.018	0.0092
Lower BMI (females only)	0.075	***

^{*} More stress fractures during the basic training than at later stages of the military service

^{**} The number of cigarettes consumed per day was higher in individuals with no stress fractures

^{***} Insufficient numbers to perform analysis

Table 2: LABORATORY EVALUATION OF BONE REMODELLING PARAMETERS

Marker	Controls mean (SD)	Stress fractures mean (SD)	P value
PTH pg/ml	25.4 (14.7)	28.7 (16.5)	NS
ICTP ng/ml	4.6 (2)	5.2 (1.6)	NS
25OHDng/ml	29.8 (8.5)	25.3 (10)	0.033
Osteocalcin ng/ml	8.8 (1.6)	10.8 (2.4)	0.00003
Bone AP U/L	26.2 (7.7)	37.6 (15.5)	0.0001

human genetics

Springer International

Professor Eitan Friedman Oncogenetics Unit Institute of Genetics Chaim Sheba Medical Center Tel-Hashomer 52621 Israel

Fax 0972 - 3 - 535 7308

Date: 26.06.1997

Dear Colleague,

I am pleased to inform you that your article,

A missense mutation in Col1A1 in a Jewish Israeli patient with mild osteogenesis imperfecta, detected by DGGE

has been accepted for publication. Any further correspondence concerning the manuscript should be addressed to:

Springer - Verlag Journal Production III Postfach 10 52 80 69042 Heidelberg Federal Republic of Germany

FAX No. (0) 6221 / 487 625

Sincerely Yours, i.A.

Elke Kunstmann, secr.

A missense mutation in Col1A1 in a Jewish Israeli patient with mild Osteogenesis Imperfecta, detected by DGGE.

Galia Gat-Yablonski*, Liat Ries*, Dorit Lev**, Boleslaw Goldman* and Eitan Friedman***.

Oncogenetics Unit, Institute of Genetics, Chaim Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer 52621, Israel. Fax: 972-3-535-7308; E-mail: feitan@post.tau.ac.il

^{*}The first two authors have equally contributed to the work presented in this paper.

^{**}Present address: Institute of Genetics, Wolfson Medical Center, Holon, Israel.

^{***}To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Abstract

Osteogenesis Imperfecta underlie germline mutations in either *Col1A1* or *Col1A2*. Here we describe, for the first time, the use of the DGGE technique for mutation analysis of the *Col1A1* gene. By employing this technique, we identified a point mutation in a young Jewish-Israeli patient with mild OI. The missense mutation, a G to A alteration at position 888, result in a Gly to Arg substitution at codon 79. Furthermore, the patient's mother who was clinically labeled as OI, solely based on the fact that she has blue sclera, was found not to carry this mutation in two different tissues. We suggest that blue sclera alone should not be used as a parameter for the diagnosis of OI, and that DGGE can be effectively used for mutation analysis of the *Col1A1* gene.